



Rare Decays of D Mesons

Svjetlana Fajfer^{ab*}

^aDepartment for theoretical physics, Jožef Stefan Institute, Slovenia

^bDepartment for physics, Faculty for mathematics and physics, University of Ljubljana, Slovenia

We analyze the dominant decay mechanisms in the radiative decays $D \rightarrow V\gamma$, $D \rightarrow P(V)\ell^+\ell^-$, $D \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, $D \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$, and we discuss possible chances to observe the effects of new physics in these decays. Then we comment on Cabibbo allowed $D \rightarrow K\pi\gamma$ decays with nonresonant $K\pi$ and we probe the role of light vector mesons in these decays.

The K and B meson rare decays offer a chance to investigate effects of new physics in the down-like quark sector, while the rare D decays offer an opportunity to investigate the FCNC effects in the up-like sector. For the rare D decays only upper bounds have been established so far for a sizable number of the radiative or dilepton weak decay of D mesons [1, 2, 3, 4]. New chances to observe these decay rates will appear soon at BELLE, BABAR and Tevatron. In analysis [5, 6, 7, 8, 9] of the dominant long distance contributions in $D \rightarrow V\gamma$, $D \rightarrow P(V)\ell^+\ell^-$, $D \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, and $D \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma$ were determined. Possibilities to observe the effects of new physics were discussed.

In the standard model (SM) the $c \rightarrow u\gamma$ transition is strongly GIM suppressed at one loop electroweak order giving a branching ratio of order 10^{-18} [10]. The QCD corrected effective Lagrangian gives $\text{BR}(c \rightarrow u\gamma) \approx 3 \times 10^{-8}$ [11]. A variety of models beyond the standard model were investigated and it was found that the gluino exchange diagrams [12] within general minimal supersymmetric SM (MSSM) give the largest enhancement

$$\frac{\text{BR}(c \rightarrow u\gamma)_{\text{MSSM}}}{\text{BR}(c \rightarrow u\gamma)_{\text{SM}}} \approx 10^2. \quad (1)$$

The $c \rightarrow u\ell^+\ell^-$ amplitude is given by the γ and Z penguin diagrams and W box diagram at one-loop electroweak order in the SM, and is dominated by the light quark contributions in the loop. We have used renormalization group improved effective weak Lagrangian within SM [9] and we found that the rates are $\text{BR}(c \rightarrow u\ell^+\ell^-)_{\text{SM}} = 2.4 \times 10^{-10}$ and $\text{BR}(c \rightarrow u\mu^+\mu^-)_{\text{SM}} = 0.5 \times 10^{-10}$. However, the present bounds on MSSM parameters lead to $\text{BR}(c \rightarrow u\ell^+\ell^-)_{\text{MSSM}} = 6.0 \times 10^{-8}$ and $\text{BR}(c \rightarrow u\mu^+\mu^-)_{\text{MSSM}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-8}$ [7].

Long distance physics overshadows such effects since it usually dominates the decay amplitude [5]. The long distance contribution is induced by the effective nonleptonic

$|\Delta c| = 1$ weak Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -A_{ij}[a_1\bar{u}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)q_i\bar{q}_j\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)c + a_2\bar{q}_j\gamma_\mu(1-\gamma_5)q_i\bar{u}\gamma^\mu(1-\gamma_5)c], \quad (2)$$

with $A_{ij} = (G_F/\sqrt{2})V_{cq_j}^*V_{uq_i}$, accompanied by the emission of the virtual photon. Here $q_{i,j}$ denote the d or s quark fields. The effective Wilson coefficients are $a_1 = 1.2$ and $a_2 = -0.5$ [5]. In our calculations of the long distance effects we use [5, 6, 7] the theoretical framework of heavy meson chiral Lagrangian [13]. The factorization approximation has been used for the calculation of weak transition elements. In Table 1 we give the branching ratios of $D \rightarrow V\gamma$ decays [5]. The uncertainty is due to relative unknown phases of various contributions. Although

Table 1. The branching ratios for $D \rightarrow V\gamma$ decays.

$D \rightarrow V\gamma$	BR
$D^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma$	$[6 - 36] \times 10^{-5}$
$D_s^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\gamma$	$[20 - 80] \times 10^{-5}$
$D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0\gamma$	$[0.1 - 1] \times 10^{-5}$
$D^0 \rightarrow \omega\gamma$	$[0.1 - 0.9] \times 10^{-5}$
$D^0 \rightarrow \Phi\gamma$	$[0.4 - 1.9] \times 10^{-5}$
$D^+ \rightarrow \rho^+\gamma$	$[0.4 - 6.3] \times 10^{-5}$
$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}\gamma$	$[1.2 - 5.1] \times 10^{-5}$
$D^+ \rightarrow K^{*+}\gamma$	$[0.3 - 4.4] \times 10^{-6}$
$D^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma$	$[0.3 - 2.0] \times 10^{-6}$

the branching ratios are dominated by the long distance contributions, the size of the short distance contribution can be extracted from the difference of the decay widths $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0\gamma)$ and $\Gamma(D^0 \rightarrow \omega\gamma)$ [14]. Namely, the long distance mechanism $c\bar{u} \rightarrow d\bar{d}\gamma$ screens the $c\bar{u} \rightarrow u\bar{u}\gamma$ transition in $D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0\gamma$ and $D^0 \rightarrow \omega\gamma$, the ρ^0 and ω mesons being mixture of $u\bar{u}$ and $d\bar{d}$. Fortunately, the LD contribu-

*e-mail: svjetlana.fajfer@ijs.si

tions are mostly canceled in the ratio

$$R = \frac{\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \gamma) - \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \omega \gamma)}{\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \omega \gamma)} \propto \text{Re} \frac{A(D^0 \rightarrow u \bar{u} \gamma)}{A(D^0 \rightarrow d \bar{d} \gamma)}, \quad (3)$$

which is proportional to the SD amplitude $A(D^0 \rightarrow u \bar{u} \gamma)$ driven by $c \rightarrow u \gamma$. This ratio $R_{\text{SM}} = 6 \pm 15\%$ in the Ref. [14], and can be enhanced up to $O(1)$ in the MSSM. In addition to the $c \rightarrow u \gamma$ searches in the charm meson decays, we have suggested to search for this transition in $B_c \rightarrow B_u^* \gamma$ decay [15], where the long distance contribution is much smaller.

The amplitudes for exclusive decays $D \rightarrow V \ell^+ \ell^-$ and $D \rightarrow P \ell^+ \ell^-$ are dominated by the long distance contributions. The branching ratios for these decays, as obtained in [6, 7], are given in Tables 2 and 3. In these Tables the first column represents the SD contributions, while in the second column the rates coming from the LD contributions are given. The rates for $D \rightarrow V e^+ e^-$ are comparable to those in Table 2 and can be found in [6].

Table 2. The $D \rightarrow V \mu^+ \mu^-$ branching ratios.

$D \rightarrow V \mu^+ \mu^-$	BR_{SD}	BR_{LD}
$D^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$	0	$[1.6 - 1.9] \times 10^{-6}$
$D_s^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	0	$[3.0 - 3.3] \times 10^{-5}$
$D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \mu^+ \mu^-$	9.7×10^{-10}	$[3.5 - 4.7] \times 10^{-7}$
$D^0 \rightarrow \omega \mu^+ \mu^-$	9.1×10^{-10}	$[3.3 - 4.5] \times 10^{-7}$
$D^0 \rightarrow \phi \mu^+ \mu^-$	0	$[6.5 - 9.0] \times 10^{-8}$
$D^+ \rightarrow \rho^+ \mu^+ \mu^-$	4.8×10^{-9}	$[1.5 - 1.8] \times 10^{-6}$
$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^-$	1.6×10^{-9}	$[5.0 - 7.0] \times 10^{-7}$
$D^+ \rightarrow K^{*+} \mu^+ \mu^-$	0	$[3.1 - 3.7] \times 10^{-8}$
$D^0 \rightarrow K^{*0} \mu^+ \mu^-$	0	$[4.4 - 5.1] \times 10^{-9}$

Table 3. The $D \rightarrow P \ell^+ \ell^-$, ($\ell = e, \mu$) branching ratios.

$D \rightarrow P \ell^+ \ell^-$	BR_{SD}	BR_{LD}
$D^0 \rightarrow K^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$	0	4.3×10^{-7}
$D_s^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$	0	6.1×10^{-6}
$D^0 \rightarrow \pi^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$	1.9×10^{-9}	2.1×10^{-7}
$D^0 \rightarrow \eta \ell^+ \ell^-$	2.5×10^{-10}	4.9×10^{-8}
$D^0 \rightarrow \eta' \ell^+ \ell^-$	9.7×10^{-12}	2.4×10^{-8}
$D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$	9.4×10^{-9}	1.0×10^{-6}
$D_s^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$	9.0×10^{-10}	4.3×10^{-8}
$D^+ \rightarrow K^+ \ell^+ \ell^-$	0	7.1×10^{-9}
$D^0 \rightarrow K^0 \ell^+ \ell^-$	0	1.1×10^{-9}

The experimental upper bounds for $D \rightarrow P \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay rates were recently improved by FOCUS [3], with new upper

bounds of 10^{-5} or less, close to the theoretical predictions [7, 16]. The allowed kinematic region for the dilepton mass $m_{\ell\ell}$ in the $D \rightarrow P \ell^+ \ell^-$ decay is $m_{\ell\ell} = [2m_\ell, m_D - m_P]$. The long distance contribution has resonant shape with poles at $m_{\ell\ell} = m_{\rho^0}, m_\omega, m_\phi$ [7, 16]. There is no pole at $m_{\ell\ell} = 0$ since the decay $D \rightarrow P \gamma$ is forbidden. The short distance contribution is rather flat. The spectra of $D \rightarrow P e^+ e^-$ and $D \rightarrow P \mu^+ \mu^-$ decays in terms of $m_{\ell\ell}$ are practically identical. The difference in their rates due to the kinematic region $m_{\ell\ell} = [2m_e, 2m_\mu]$ is small and we do not consider them separately.

The differential distribution for $D^{+,0} \rightarrow \pi^{+,0} \ell^+ \ell^-$ [7, 16] indicates that the high mass dilepton region might yield an opportunity for detecting $c \rightarrow u \ell^+ \ell^-$. The kinematics of the processes $D \rightarrow V \ell^+ \ell^-$ would be more favorable to probe the possible supersymmetric enhancement at small $m_{\ell\ell}$, but the long distance contributions in these channels are even more prominent [6].

We undertook an investigation of the $D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay [8] and recently CLEO gave the first upper limit $\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) < 2.9 \times 10^{-5}$ [17]. The short distance contribution is expected to be rather small and therefore the main contribution is coming from long distance interactions. The total amplitude is dominated by terms proportional to a_1 that contribute only through loops with Goldstone bosons. At this order the amplitude receives an annihilation type contribution proportional to the a_2 Wilson coefficient, given by the Wess-Zumino anomalous term coupling light pseudoscalars to two photons. Terms which contain the anomalous electromagnetic coupling of the heavy quark Lagrangian are suppressed compared to the leading loop effects [8]. The invariant amplitude for $D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ decay can be decomposed using gauge and Lorentz invariance into a parity conserving and parity violating parts [8]. Within this framework [8], the leading contributions are found to arise from the charged π and K mesons running in the chiral loops. As a result of these internal exchanges our calculation predicts that the $D \rightarrow 2\gamma$ decay is mostly a parity violating transition [8]. We find that the predicted branching ratio is

$$\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma) = (1.0 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-8}. \quad (4)$$

The short distance contribution within the SM has been estimated in [16], which found that it cannot exceed the long distance part.

Recently we have studied the dominant contributions to the rare decay mode $D \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma$ [9]. The long distance contributions can be divided into nonresonant and resonant contributions. The first is the result of π, K loops, while the second one occurs on account of the $D^0 \rightarrow V \gamma \rightarrow \ell^+ \ell^- \gamma$ transition where $V = \rho^0, \omega, \pi$ vector mesons. We have calculated that nonresonant contributions give the rates

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+ e^-)_{\text{nonres}} &= 1.29 \times 10^{-10}, \\ \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+ \mu^-)_{\text{nonres}} &= 0.21 \times 10^{-10}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The resonant contributions are

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \rho\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma) &\simeq 5 \times 10^{-11}, \\ \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \omega\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma) &\simeq 8 \times 10^{-11}, \\ \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \phi\gamma \rightarrow \ell^+\ell^-\gamma) &\simeq 1 \times 10^{-9}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

As seen from these results the largest contributions come from the ϕ resonance. Finally, combining both contributions we estimate that the dominant long distance contributions in these decays give

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-)_{\text{SM}} &= 1.2 \times 10^{-10}, \\ \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+\mu^-)_{\text{SM}} &= 1.1 \times 10^{-10}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Using simplest supersymmetric extension of SM we investigate possible effects. The largest contribution is expected from gluino-squark exchanges [9, 12]. Using single mass insertion we find [9],

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-)_{\text{SM}} &= 1.4 \times 10^{-9}, \\ \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+\mu^-)_{\text{SM}} &= 1.2 \times 10^{-9}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The situation rather changes if $\mathcal{R}$ -parity violation is allowed as in [9]. The effective interaction is then

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\tilde{\lambda}'_{12k}\tilde{\lambda}'_{11k}}{2M_{\tilde{D}\mathcal{R}}^2} (\bar{u}_L \gamma^\mu c_L)(\bar{\ell}_L \gamma^\mu \ell_L), \quad (9)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}'_{ijk}$ are the coefficients of the $\mathcal{R}$ -parity breaking terms of the superpotential [9]. Using new experimental bound $\text{BR}(D^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\mu^+\mu^-) < 8.8 \times 10^{-6}$ [3] we obtain a new bound

$$\tilde{\lambda}'_{12k}\tilde{\lambda}'_{11k} \leq 0.003 \left[\frac{M_{\tilde{D}\mathcal{R}}^2}{100 \text{ GeV}} \right]^2. \quad (10)$$

Using this bound and allowing photon emission from ℓ^+ and ℓ^- , with photon energy cut $E_\gamma \geq 50 \text{ MeV}$ and $E_\gamma \geq 100 \text{ MeV}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-)_{E_\gamma \geq 50 \text{ MeV}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= 4.5 \times 10^{-9}, \\ \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+\mu^-)_{E_\gamma \geq 50 \text{ MeV}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= 50 \times 10^{-9}, \\ \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma e^+e^-)_{E_\gamma \geq 100 \text{ MeV}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= 4.5 \times 10^{-9}, \\ \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \mu^+\mu^-)_{E_\gamma \geq 50 \text{ MeV}}^{\mathcal{R}} &= 46 \times 10^{-9}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Note that the SM predictions are not affected by the cuts on the soft photon energy at the order $E_\gamma \geq 100 \text{ MeV}$ as the bulk of the contribution either comes from the resonances or the low p^2 region [9]. The cut on E_γ is the cut on the high p^2 region.

In addition to the D meson rare decays in which the FCNC transitions might occur, we have studied the Cabibbo allowed radiative decays $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+\gamma$, $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\gamma$ and

$D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi$ with $P = \pi^0, \eta, \eta'$ with nonresonant $K\pi$, which we consider to be the most likely candidates for early detection. Here we used the heavy quark chiral Lagrangian supplemented by light vector mesons, as the theoretical framework.

The radiative $K \rightarrow \pi\pi\gamma$ decays offer useful information in the study of the K meson decays. Their counterparts in charm sector are charm meson decays into $P_1P_2\gamma$. Using the factorization approximation for the calculation of weak transition elements we use the information obtained from semileptonic decays [18, 19]. The nonleptonic $D \rightarrow K\pi$ amplitude cannot be calculated accurately in the factorization approximation from the diagrams provided by our model. Such a calculation gives a rather good result for the $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+$ channel but is less successful for the $D^0 \rightarrow K^+\pi^-$ decay. In order to overcome this deficiency and to be able to present accurately the bremsstrahlung component of the radiative transition, we shall use an alternative approach. We take the experimental values for the $D \rightarrow K\pi$ amplitudes, in the calculation of the bremsstrahlung component. In order to accommodate this, we write [20] the decay amplitude as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} = & -\frac{G_f}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cs} V_{du}^* \{ F_0 \left[\frac{q \cdot \varepsilon}{q \cdot k} - \frac{p \cdot \varepsilon}{p \cdot k} \right] \\ & + F_1 [(q \cdot \varepsilon)(p \cdot k) - (p \cdot \varepsilon)(q \cdot k)] \\ & + F_2 \varepsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \varepsilon_\mu V_\alpha k_\beta q_\gamma \}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where F_0 is the experimentally determined $D \rightarrow K\pi$ amplitude and F_1, F_2 are the form factors of the electric and magnetic direct transitions which we calculate with our model. When intermediate states appear to be on the mass shell, we use Breit-Wigner formula. Thus, we get [20] for the branching ratios of the electric transitions including bremsstrahlung, with $|F_0|$ determined experimentally and taking the photon energy cut $E_c \geq 100 \text{ MeV}$

$$\text{BR}(D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+\gamma)_{\text{PV,ex}}^{E_c} = (2.3 - 2.5) \times 10^{-4}. \quad (13)$$

For the D^0 radiative decay we get

$$\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\gamma)_{\text{PV,ex}}^{E_c} = (4.3 - 6.0) \times 10^{-4}. \quad (14)$$

The uncertainty in the F_0/F_1 phase is less of a problem in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+\gamma$ than in $D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\gamma$. If we take the bremsstrahlung amplitude alone as determined from the knowledge of $|F_0|$, disregarding the direct electric F_1 term, the above numbers are replaced by 2.3×10^{-4} for D^+ decay and 5.5×10^{-4} for the D^0 decay. The contribution of the direct parity violating term (putting $F_0 = 0$), is $\text{BR}(D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+\gamma)_{\text{dir,PV}} = 1.0 \times 10^{-5}$ and $\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\gamma)_{\text{dir,PV}} = 1.64 \times 10^{-4}$. For the parity conserving direct magnetic transition we get [20]

$$\text{BR}(D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0\pi^+\gamma)_{\text{PC}} = 2.0 \times 10^{-5}, \quad (15)$$

$$\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow K^-\pi^+\gamma)_{\text{PC}} = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}. \quad (16)$$

Hence, the two direct transitions are predicted to be of about the same strength. If we disregard the contribution of vector mesons to the direct part of the radiative decays, the parity-conserving part of the amplitude is considerably decreased, by one order of magnitude in the rate in $D^+ \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi^+ \gamma$ decay and by two orders of magnitude in $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ \gamma$. On the other hand, their contribution is not felt in a significant way in the parity – violating part of the amplitudes. In any case, the detection of the direct part of these decays at the predicted rates, will constitute a proof of the important role of the light vector mesons.

The decay mode D^0 with neutral pseudoscalar mesons has no bremsstrahlung part of the amplitude. The light virtual vector mesons give the main contribution to the decay amplitude. The decay $D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi \gamma$ is predicted [20] to have the rate

$$\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \pi \gamma) = 3.4 \times 10^{-4}, \quad (17)$$

with comparable contributions from the parity-conserving and parity-violating parts. The decays $D^0 \rightarrow \bar{K}^0 \eta(\eta') \gamma$ are expected with rates 1.1×10^{-5} and 0.4×10^{-7} , respectively, and are mainly parity conserving.

In summary: we find that the best candidate to search for new physics effects in charm decay seems to be the difference $\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \rho^0 \gamma) - \text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \omega \gamma)$. The observation of the rate of $\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \gamma \gamma)$ larger than 10^{-8} can signal new physics, while the rate $\text{BR}(D^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \gamma)$ of the order 10^{-8} can be explained as a result of the $\mathcal{R}$ -parity violating MSSM effects. We hope that interesting features of these decays result in an experimental search in the near future.

References

1. D.M. Asner *et al.*, CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 092001.
2. A. Freyberger *et al.*, CLEO collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 3065; E.M. Aitala *et al.*, E 791 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 (1996) 364; Phys. Lett. B 462 (1999) 401; D.A. Sanders, Mod. Phys. Lett. A 15 (2000) 1399.
3. W.E. Jhons, FOCUS Collaboration, hep-ex/0207015.
4. E.M. Aitala *et al.*, E 791 Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86 (2001) 3969; D.A. Sanders *et al.*, E791 Collaboration, hep-ph/0105028; D.J. Summers, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 16 Suppl. 1B (2001) 536.
5. S. Fajfer, S. Prelovšek, and P. Singer, Eur. Phys. J. C 6 (1999) 471; 6 (1999) 751(E).
6. S. Fajfer, S. Prelovšek, and P. Singer, Phys. Rev. D 58 (1998) 094038.
7. S. Fajfer, S. Prelovšek, and P. Singer, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 114009.
8. S. Fajfer, P. Singer, and J. Zupan, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 074008.
9. S. Fajfer, P. Singer, and J. Zupan, Eur. Phys. J. C 6 (1999) 471;
10. G. Burdman, E. Golowich, J.L. Hewett, and S. Pakvasa, Phys. Rev. D 52 (1995) 6383.
11. C. Greub, T. Hurth, M. Misiak, and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B 382 (1996) 415; Q. Ho-Kim and X.-Y. Pham, Phys. Rev. D 61 (2000) 013008.
12. S. Prelovšek and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B 500 (2001) 304.
13. M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 2188; G. Burdman and J. Donoghue, Phys. Lett. B 280 (1992) 287.
14. S. Fajfer, S. Prelovšek, P. Singer, and D. Wyler, Phys. Lett. B 487 (2000) 81.
15. S. Fajfer, S. Prelovšek, P. Singer, Phys. Rev. D 59 (1999) 114003.
16. G. Burdman, E. Golowich, J.L. Hewett, and S. Pakvasa, hep-ph/0112235.
17. T.E. Coan *et al.*, CLEO Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 (2003) 101801.
18. R. Casalbuoni *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B 299 (1993) 139; Phys. Rep. 281 (1997) 145.
19. B. Bajc, S. Fajfer, and R.J. Oakes, Phys. Rev. D 53, 4957 (1996).
20. S. Fajfer, A. Prapotnik, and P. Singer, Phys. Rev. D 65 (2002) 017301; Phys. Lett. B 550 (2002) 77.